arXiv:1210.1515v1 [math.AP] 4 Oct 2012

The Hölder continuity of the swirl for the Navier-Stokes motions

Wojciech M. Zajączkowski

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,
Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail:wz@impan.pl;
Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Cybernetics Faculty,
Military University of Technology, Kaliskiego 2,
00-908 Warsaw, Poland

Mathematical Subject Classification (2000): 35B65, 35K20
Key words and phrases: linear parabolic equation, nonsmooth coefficients, Hölder continuity, De Giorgi method

Abstract. We examine the axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a periodic cylinder $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. By swirl we denote the expression $u = rv_\varphi$, where v_φ is the angular component of velocity and r, φ, z are the cylindrical coordinates. The swirl satisfies a linear parabolic equation with coefficients equal to velocity. Assuming that $v \in L_q(0,T;L_p(\Omega))$, $\frac{3}{p}+\frac{2}{q}=1-\frac{3}{2}\varkappa$, $\varkappa>0$, we show that $u \in C^{3\varkappa/2,3\varkappa/4}(\Omega^T)$, $T>0$. We apply the DeGiorgi method developed in Chapter 2 of the book: "Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type" written by Ladyzhenskaya, Solonnikov and Uraltseva.

1. Introduction

In this paper we examine some Hölder properties of axially symmetric solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
&v_t + v\cdot\nabla v - \nu\Delta v + \nabla p = 0 && \text{in } \ \Omega^T = \Omega\times(0,T),\\
&\operatorname{div} v = 0 && \text{in } \ \Omega^T,\\
&v\cdot\bar n = 0, \quad \bar n\cdot\mathbb{D}(v)\cdot\bar\tau_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1,2, && \text{on } \ S_1^T = S_1\times(0,T),\\
&\text{periodic boundary conditions} && \text{on } \ S_2^T,\\
&v|_{t=0} = v_0 && \text{in } \ \Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}
$$

where $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ is a cylinder with the boundary $S = S_1\cup S_2$, S_1 is parallel to the axis of the cylinder and S_2 is perpendicular to it.
By $v = v(x,t) = (v_1(x,t), v_2(x,t), v_3(x,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote velocity of the fluid, $p = p(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}$ the pressure, $x = (x_1,x_2,x_3)$ are the Cartesian coordinates such that x_3-axis is the axis of the cylinder Ω. By $\bar n$ we denote the unit outward normal vector to S_1, $\bar\tau_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1,2$, is the tangent vector to S_1. Moreover, the dot denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathbb{D}(v) = \nabla v + \nabla v^T$ and $\nu > 0$ is the constant viscosity coefficient.
Since we are interested to examine axially symmetric solutions to (1.1) we introduce the cylindrical coordinates r, φ, z by the relations

$$x_1 = r\cos\varphi, \quad x_2 = r\sin\varphi, \quad x_3 = z.$$

Then Ω must be an axially symmetric cylinder.
To be more precise we fix positive numbers R and a such that

$$\Omega = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^3 : \ r < R \text{ and } |z| < a\}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_1 = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^3 : \ r = R, \ |z|\le a\},\\
&S_2 = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^3 : \ r < R \ z\in\{-a,a\}\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us introduce the vectors

$$\bar e_r = (\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi, 0), \quad \bar e_\varphi = (-\sin\varphi, \cos\varphi, 0), \quad \bar e_z = (0,0,1).$$

Then the cylindrical components of velocity are described by the relations

$$v_r = v\cdot\bar e_r, \quad v_\varphi = v\cdot\bar e_\varphi, \quad v_z = v\cdot\bar e_z. \tag{1.2}$$

Definition 1.1. The axially symmetric solutions to problem (1.1) are such that

$$v_{r,\varphi} = v_{\varphi,\varphi} = v_{z,\varphi} = p_{,\varphi} = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.2. By the swirl we mean the quantity

$$u = rv_\varphi. \tag{1.4}$$

The aim of this paper is to show boundedness and the Hölder continuity of u. Especially, we are interested to estimate the Hölder exponent. For this purpose we have to repeat the considerations from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 5–8].

From [LL, Ch. 2] and [Z2, Ch. 2] it follows the following problem for v_φ

$$\begin{aligned} &v_{\varphi,t} + v\cdot\nabla v_\varphi + \frac{v_r}{r}v_\varphi - \nu\Delta v_\varphi + \nu\frac{v_\varphi}{r^2} = 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T,\\ &v_{\varphi,r} = \frac{1}{R}v_\varphi && \text{on } S_1^T,\\ &\text{periodic boundary condition} && \text{on } S_2^T,\\ &v_\varphi|_{t=0} = v_\varphi(0) && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\Delta v_\varphi = \frac{1}{r}(rv_{\varphi,r})_{,r} + v_{\varphi,zz}$, $v\cdot\nabla = v_r\partial_r + v_z\partial_z$.
In view of (1.4) problem (1.5) implies the following problem for u,

$$\begin{aligned} &u_t + v\cdot\nabla u - \nu\Delta u + \nu\frac{u_{,r}}{r} = 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T,\\ &u_{,r} = \frac{2}{R}u && \text{on } S_1^T,\\ &\text{periodic boundary condition} && \text{on } S_2^T,\\ &u|_{t=0} = u_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Let $V_2^0(\Omega^T)$ be a space of functions with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(\Omega))} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}.$$

From [Z1, Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.5] we have

Remark 1.3. For weak solutions to problem (1.1) (see [Z1, Z3]) we have

$$\|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} + \left\|\frac{u}{r^2}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)} \le c\|v_0\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \equiv d_1, \tag{1.7}$$

where d_1 is some positive constant.

Main Theorem. *Assume that* $v' \in L_{10}(\Omega^T)$, $v' = (v_r, v_z)$. *Assume also that* $u_0 = rv_0 \in L_\infty(\Omega)$. *Assume that in a neighborhood* U *of the axis of symmetry* $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}\varkappa$, $\varkappa \in \big(0, \frac{1}{3}\big]$. *Then* $u \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(U \times (0,T))$ *and its norm does not depend on* v'.

In this paper we follow the idea of DeGiorgi developed in the book [LSU, Ch. 2, Sects. 5, 6]. In reality we repeat all proofs adding some changes which imply that the Hölder coefficient can be calculated explicitly (see Remark 5.5). Comparing to the original proofs from [LSU] we add some explanation which make them easier for reading.

2. Notation and auxiliary results

To prove the Hölder continuity of solutions to problem (1.6) we need

Lemma 2.1. *(see [Z1]). Let* $u_0 \in L_\infty(\Omega)$. *Then the estimate holds*

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)} \le \|u_0\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \equiv M, \quad T < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $A_k(t) = \{x \in \Omega : \ u(x,t) > k\}$, $u^{(k)}(x,t) = \max\{u - k, 0\}$. Multiplying $(1.6)_1$ by $u^{(k)}$ and integrating over Ω yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega |u^{(k)}|^2 dx + \nu\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla u^{(k)}|^2 dx - \nu\int\limits_{-a}^{a} |u^{(k)}|_{r=R}|^2 dz \\ &\quad + 2\nu\int\limits_\Omega u_{,r}u^{(k)} drdz = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last term in the above equality equals

$$\nu\int\limits_\Omega (|u^{(k)}|^2)_{,r} drdz = \nu\int\limits_{-a}^{a} |u^{(k)}|_{r=R}|^2 dz,$$

because $u^{(k)}|_{r=0} = 0$. Otherwise the condition (1.7) implies contradiction for $u > k$. Hence, we derive

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega |u^{(k)}|^2 dx + \nu\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla u^{(k)}|^2 dx = 0.$$

Assuming that $u_0 < k$ we obtain (2.1). This concludes the proof.

Lemma 2.2. *(see [Z2]). Assume that* $v_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$*. Then there exists a weak solution to (1.1) such that* $v \in V_2^0(\Omega^T) = \{w:\ \|w\|_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(\Omega))} + \|\nabla w\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)} < \infty\}$ *and*

$$\|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} \le c\|v_0\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \tag{2.2}$$

A proof of the Hölder regularity of solutions to (1.6) we begin with recalling some auxiliary lemmas from [LSU, Ch. 2].

Now we introduce some notation. Let us introduce the cylinder

$$Q(\varrho_0,\tau_0) = \{(x,t):\ x \in B_{\varrho_0}(x_0),\ t_0-\tau_0 < t < t_0\},$$
$$B_{\varrho_0}(x_0) = \{x:\ |x-x_0| < \varrho_0\}.$$

Moreover, we need the following cylinder with the same top

$$Q(\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho,\tau-\sigma_2\tau) = \{(x,t):\ x \in B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(x_0),\ t_0-(1-\sigma_2)\tau < t < t_0\},$$

where $\sigma_1,\sigma_2 \in [0,1)$, $\frac{\varrho_0}{2} \le \varrho-\sigma_1\varrho \le \varrho \le \varrho_0$, $\frac{\tau_0}{2} \le \tau-\sigma_2\tau \le \tau \le \tau_0$.

Let the function $u = u(x,t)$ be given. Then we introduce

$$A_{k,\varrho}(t) = \{x \in B_\varrho(x_0):\ u(x,t) > k\},$$
$$\mu(k,\varrho,\tau) = \int_{t_0-\tau}^{t_0} \operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t)dt,$$

where $\frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$. Changing variables $x-x_0 = \varrho_0\tilde{x}$, $t-t_0 = \varrho_0^2\tilde{t}$ and denoting $\tilde{\varrho} = \varrho\varrho_0^{-1}$, $\tilde{\tau} = \tau_0\varrho_0^{-2}$, we introduce the cylinders

$$Q'(\tilde{\varrho},\tilde{\tau}) = \{(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}):\ |\tilde{x}| < \tilde{\varrho},\ -\tilde{\tau} < \tilde{t} < 0\}.$$

In view of the above transformations we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \tilde{\varrho}-\sigma_1\tilde{\varrho} \le \tilde{\varrho} \le 1, \quad \frac{\theta}{2} \le \tilde{\tau}-\sigma_2\tilde{\tau} \le \tilde{\tau} \le \theta \equiv \tau_0\varrho_0^{-2}.$$

Then cylinder $Q(\varrho_0,\tau_0)$ is transformed to the cylinder

$$Q'(1,\theta) = \{(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}):\ |\tilde{x}| < 1,\ -\theta < \tilde{t} < 0\}.$$

Assume that the inequality is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u^{(k)}\|^2_{V_2^0(Q'(\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho,\tau-\sigma_2\tau))}\\ &\le \gamma\{[(\sigma_1\varrho)^{-1}+(\sigma_2\tau)^{-2}]\|u^{(k)}\|^2_{L_2(Q'(\varrho,\tau))} + k^2\mu^{\frac{2(1+\varkappa)}{r}}(k,\varrho,\tau)\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $u^{(k)} = \max\{u-k,0\}$ and $Q'(\varrho,\tau) = \{|x| < \varrho,\ -\tau < t < 0\}$.

Moreover, we assume

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \varrho-\sigma_1\varrho < \varrho \le 1, \quad \frac{1}{2}\theta \le \tau-\sigma_2\tau < \tau \le \theta.$$

Let us take the sequence of cylinders

$$Q_h = Q'(\varrho_h,\tau_h) \quad \text{with} \quad \varrho_h = \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{h+2}}, \quad \tau_h = \frac{1}{2}\theta+\frac{\theta}{2^{h+2}},$$

$h = 0,1,\dots,\infty$ and the sequence of increasing levels $k_h = M+N\left(1-\frac{1}{2^h}\right)$, $h = 0,1,\dots$, where M and N are some positive numbers.

Lemma 2.3. *(see Lemma 6.1 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 6]) Let the function $u(x,t)$ satisfy (2.3) for all $k \in [M, M+N]$. Then the quantities*

$$y_h = N^{-2} \int\limits_{-\tau_h}^{0} \int\limits_{A_{k_h,\varrho_h}(t)} (u-k_h)^2 dxdt$$

and

$$z_h = \mu^{\frac{2}{r}}(k_h, \varrho_h, \tau_h) \equiv \mu_h^{2/r}, \quad h = 0,1,\dots, \quad r \in \left[\frac{4}{3}, \infty\right],$$

with defined above k_h, ϱ_h and τ_h satisfy the system of recurrent inequalities

$$y_{h+1} \le \gamma_1 2^{2h} \left[2^{2h} y_h^{1+\delta} + z_h^{1+\varkappa} y_h^{\delta} \left(\frac{M}{N} + 1 \right)^2 \right], \tag{2.4}$$

$$z_{h+1} \le \gamma_1 2^{2h} \left[2^{2h} y_h + z_h^{1+\varkappa} \left(\frac{M}{N} + 1 \right)^2 \right], \tag{2.5}$$

where $\delta = \frac{2}{n+2}$ and $\gamma_1 = 4\beta^2(\gamma+1)\big(2^8 + \frac{2^{3-h}}{\theta}\big)$.

Proof. Let $\zeta_h(|x|)$ be a sequence of cut off continuous functions such that

$$\zeta_h(|x|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \le \varrho_{h+1} \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \ge \bar\varrho_h = \frac{1}{2}(\varrho_h + \varrho_{h+1}) \end{cases}$$

and linear on the interval $|x| \in [\varrho_{h+1}, \bar\varrho_h]$, so that $|\zeta_{hx}(|x|)| \le 2^{h+4}$.
Let

$$\lambda_h = \int\limits_{-\tau_{h+1}}^{0} \text{meas} A_{k_{h+1},\bar\varrho_h}(t) dt,$$

where $A_{k,\varrho}(t) = \{x \in B_\varrho : \ u(x,t) > k\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y_{h+1} &= N^{-2} \int\limits_{-\tau_{h+1}}^{0} \int\limits_{A_{k_{h+1},\varrho_{h+1}}(t)} (u-k_{h+1})^2 dxdt \\ &\le N^{-2} \int\limits_{-\tau_{h+1}}^{0} \int\limits_{A_{k_{h+1},\bar\varrho_h}(t)} (u-k_{h+1})^2 \zeta_h^2 dxdt \equiv I_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Employing $\bar{\varrho}_h > \varrho_{h+1}$ we have

$$I_0 \le \beta^2 N^{-2} \lambda_h^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \|u^{(k_{h+1})}\zeta_h\|_{V_2^0(Q'(\bar{\varrho}_h, \tau_{h+1}))} \equiv I,$$

where we used the inequality

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)} \le \beta(\text{meas} Q_0)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \|u\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $Q_0 = \{(x,t) : \ |u(x,t)| > 0\}$ and β is a constant from the imbedding $\|u\|_{L_r(0,T;L_q(\Omega))} \le \beta \|u\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)}$, where $\frac{2}{r} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$. Continuing,

$$I \le \beta^2 N^{-2} \lambda_h^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \Big\{ \operatorname*{esssup}_{-\tau_{h+1} \le t \le 0} \int\limits_{A_{k_{h+1}, \bar{\varrho}_h}(t)} (u - k_{h+1})^2 \zeta_h^2 dx$$

$$+ 2 \int\limits_{-\tau_{h+1}}^{0} \int\limits_{A_{k_{h+1}, \bar{\varrho}}(t)} [u_x^2 \zeta_h^2 + (u - k_{h+1})^2 \zeta_{hx}^2] dx dt \Big\}$$

$$\le 2\beta^2 \lambda_h^{\delta} [N^{-2} \|u^{(k_{h+1})}\|^2_{V_2^0(Q'(\bar{\varrho}_h, \tau_{h+1}))} + 4^{h+4} y_h],$$

because $k_h < k_{h+1}$ implies that $y_h \ge y_{h+1}$. Now we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} y_h = N^{-2} \int\limits_{-\tau_h}^{0} \int\limits_{A_{k_h, \varrho_h}} (u - k_h)^2 dx dt \ge \\ N^{-2} \int\limits_{-\tau_h}^{0} dt \int\limits_{A_{k_{h+1}, \varrho_h}(t)} (u - k_h)^2 dx \ge J. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Because $k_{h+1} > k_h$, we have

$$J \ge (k_{h+1} - k_h)^2 N^{-2} \int\limits_{-\tau_h}^{0} dt \int\limits_{A_{k_{h+1}, \varrho_h}} dx \ge$$

$$(k_{h+1} - k_h)^2 N^{-2} \int\limits_{-\tau_h}^{0} dt \int\limits_{A_{k_{h+1}, \bar{\varrho}_h}} dx = (k_{h+1} - k_h)^2 N^{-2} \lambda_h,$$

because $\varrho_{h+1} < \varrho_h$ so $\varrho_{h+1} < \bar{\varrho}_h = \frac{1}{2}(\varrho_h + \varrho_{h+1}) < \varrho_h$.
Now we estimate the r.h.s. of (2.6) by using (2.3) and (2.8). We apply inequality (2.3) for $u^{(k+1)}$ and for two cylinders: $Q'(\bar{\varrho}_h, \tau_{h+1})$ and $Q'(\varrho_h, \tau_h)$.

First we calculate $(\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2}$ and $(\sigma_2\tau)^{-1}$. We have $\sigma_1\varrho = \varrho_h - \bar\varrho_h = \frac{1}{2^{h+4}}$ so $(\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2} = 4^{h+4}$ and $(\sigma_2\tau)^{-1} = \frac{2^{h+3}}{\theta}$. Hence we have

(2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} y_{h+1} \le{} & 2\beta^2[(k_{h+1}-k_h)^{-1}N]^{2\delta}y_h^\delta \\ & \cdot\left\{\gamma\left[\left(4^{h+4}+\frac{1}{\theta}2^{h+3}\right)N^{-2}\|u^{(k_{h+1})}\|^2_{L_2(Q'(\varrho_h,\tau_h))}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left.+\,k_{h+1}^2N^{-2}\mu_h^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\right]+4^{h+4}y_h\right\} \\ & \le 2\beta^2[(k_{h+1}-k_h)^{-1}N]^{2\delta}[2^{2h}a_1y_h^{1+\delta}+\gamma k_{h+1}^2N^{-2}z_h^{1+\varkappa}y_h^\delta] \equiv I, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 = (\gamma+1)\big(2^8 + \frac{2^{3-h}}{\theta}\big)$. Using that

$$(k_{h+1}-k_h)^{-1} = \frac{2^{h+1}}{N} \quad \text{so} \quad (k_{h+1}-k_h)^{-1}N = 2^{h+1},$$

and

$$k_{h+1}^2N^{-2} = \left(\frac{M+N\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{h+1}}\right)}{N}\right)^2 \le \left(\frac{M}{N}+1\right)^2.$$

Then (2.9) implies

$$I \le 4\beta^2 2^h\left[2^{2h}a_1y_n^{1+\delta}+\gamma\left(\frac{M}{N}+1\right)^2 z_h^{1+\varkappa}y_h^\delta\right],$$

where we used that $2\delta \le 1$. From the estimate inequality (2.4) follows. Next we show (2.5). For this aim we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & (k_{h+1}-k_h)^2z_{h+1} = (k_{h+1}-k_h)^2\mu_{h+1}^{\frac{2}{r}} \\ & \le \|u^{(k_h)}\zeta_h\|^2_{L_{q,r}(Q'(\bar\varrho_h,\tau_{h+1}))} \le \beta^2\|u^{(k_h)}\zeta_h\|^2_{V_2^0(Q'(\bar\varrho_h,\tau_{h+1}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (2.3) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & (k_{h+1}-k_h)^2z_{h+1} \le 2\beta^2 4^{h+4}N^2y_h \\ & \quad + 2\beta^2\gamma\big\{[(\bar\varrho_h-\varrho_h)^{-2}+(\tau_{h+1}-\tau_h)^{-1}]\|u^{(k_h)}\|^2_{L_2(Q'(\varrho_h,\tau_h))} \\ & \quad + k_h^2\mu_h^{\frac{2(1+\varkappa)}{r}}\big\} \\ & \le 2\beta^2\gamma\big\{[4^{h+4}+\theta^{-1}2^{h+3}]N^2y_h + k_h^2z_h^{1+\varkappa}\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since $(k_{h+1}-k_h)^{-2} = \frac{2^{2(h+1)}}{N^2}$ we obtain from (2.10) inequality (2.5). This concludes the proof.

Lemma 2.4. *(see Lemma 5.7 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 5]) Assume that the numbers y_h, z_h, $h = 0, 1, \ldots$, satisfy the system of inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} y_{h+1} &\le c_0 b^h (y_h^{1+\delta} + z_h^{1+\varepsilon} y_h^{\delta}), \\ z_{h+1} &\le c_0 b^h (y_h + z_h^{1+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where c_0, b, ε, δ are fixed positive constants with $b \ge 1$.
Then

$$y_h \le \lambda b^{-\frac{h}{d}}, \quad z_h \le (\lambda b^{-\frac{h}{d}})^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \min\left\{\delta, \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right\} \\ \lambda &= \min\left\{(2c_0)^{-1/\delta} b^{-1/\delta d}; (2c_0)^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} b^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon d}}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

and if

$$y_0 \le \lambda, \quad z_0 \le \lambda^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. For $h = 0$ (2.12) holds. Assume that (2.12) is satisfied for y_h and z_h. Then in view of (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_{h+1} &\le 2c_0 b^h (\lambda b^{-\frac{h}{d}})^{1+\delta} = 2c_0 \lambda^{1+\delta} b^{h\left(1-\frac{1+\delta}{d}\right)} \equiv I_1, \\ z_{h+1} &\le 2c_0 \lambda b^{h\left(1-\frac{1}{d}\right)} \equiv I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\lambda \le (2c_0)^{-1/\delta} b^{-1/\delta d}$ we obtain

$$I_1 \le \lambda b^{-\frac{h+1}{d}} b^{h(1-\delta/d)} \le \lambda b^{-\frac{h+1}{d}}$$

because $d \le \delta$.
Using that $\lambda \le (2c_0)^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} b^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon d}}$ we derive

$$I_2 \le (\lambda b^{-\frac{h}{d}})^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} b^{h-\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\frac{h}{d}} \le (\lambda b^{-\frac{h}{d}})^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}$$

because $d \le \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$. This concludes the proof.

Lemma 2.5. *(see Lemma 5.8 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 5]) Let $u = u(x,t)$ be a measurable and bounded in cylinder $Q_{\varrho_0} = Q(\varrho_0, \theta_0 \varrho_0^2)$. Let $Q_\varrho = Q(\varrho, \theta_0 \varrho^2)$ and $Q_{b\varrho}$, $b > 1$ be cylinders with the same top and the axis as Q_{ϱ_0}. Moreover, $\varrho \le b^{-1}\varrho_0$ and $u = u(x,t)$ satisfies the relations: either*

$$osc\{u; Q_\varrho\} \le c_1 \varrho^{\delta} \tag{2.14}$$

or

$$osc\{u;Q_\varrho\} \le \eta osc\{u;Q_{b\varrho}\} \tag{2.15}$$

with some constants c_1, $\delta \le 1$ *and* $\eta < 1$.
Then for $\varrho \le \varrho_0$ *it holds*

$$osc\{u;Q_\varrho\} \le c\varrho_0^{-\alpha}\varrho^\alpha, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\alpha = \min\{-\ln_b \eta; \delta\}$, $c = b^\alpha \max\{\omega; c_1\varrho_0^\delta\}$, $\omega_0 = osc\{u;Q_{\varrho_0}\}$.

Proof. Take the sequence of cylinders Q_{ϱ_k}, $\varrho_k = b^{-k}\varrho_0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, described above. Let $\omega_k = osc\{u, Q_{\varrho_k}\}$. From (2.14) and (2.15) we have

$$\omega_k \le \max\{c_1\varrho_k^\delta; \eta\omega_{k-1}\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\omega_0 \le cb^{-\alpha}.$$

Hence for $y_k = b^{k\alpha}\omega_k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, we obtain the estimate

$$y_k \le \max\{b^{k\alpha}c_1\varrho_k^\delta; b^{k\alpha}\eta\omega_{k-1}\} = \max\{c_1 b^{k(\alpha-\delta)}\varrho_0^\delta; b^\alpha\eta y_{k-1}\} \equiv I.$$

From assumptions of the lemma we have

$$\eta \le b^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha < \delta, \quad c \ge b^\alpha c_1\varrho_0^\delta.$$

Hence

$$I \le \max\{c_1\varrho_0^\delta; y_{k-1}\} \le \max\{cb^{-\alpha}; y_{k-1}\}$$

and

$$y_0 = \omega_0 \le cb^{-\alpha}.$$

From the above inequalities, we deduce that

$$y_k \le cb^{-\alpha}.$$

Hence $\omega_k \le b^{-k\alpha}y_k \le cb^{-k\alpha}b^{-\alpha} = cb^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\varrho_k}{\varrho_0}\right)^\alpha$.
Let Q_ϱ be a cylinder with arbitrary $\varrho \le \varrho_0$. Then there exists $k \ge 1$ such that $\varrho_k \le \varrho \le \varrho_{k-1}$. Therefore

$$osc\{u;Q_\varrho\} \le osc\{u;Q_{\varrho_{k-1}}\} \le cb^{-\alpha}\varrho_0^{-\alpha}\varrho_{k-1}^\alpha \le c\varrho_0^{-\alpha}\varrho^\alpha.$$

Hence the lemma is proved.

3. Existence in $B_2(Q_T, M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$

Definition 3.1. We say that $u \in V_2^0(Q_T)$ such that $\operatorname*{esssup}_{Q_T} |u| \le M$ belongs to $B_2(Q_T, M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$ if the function $\omega(x,t) = \pm u(x,t)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t_0 \le t \le t_0+\tau} \|\omega^{(k)}(x,t)\|^2_{L_2(B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho})} &\le \|\omega^{(k)}(x,t_0)\|^2_{L_2(B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho})} \\ &+ \gamma[(\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2}\|\omega^{(k)}\|^2_{L_2(Q(\varrho,\tau))} + \mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(k,\varrho,\tau)]\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\omega^{(k)}\|^2_{V_2^0(Q(\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho,\tau-\sigma_2\tau))} \\ &\le \gamma[((\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2} + (\sigma_2\tau)^{-1})\|\omega^{(k)}\|^2_{L_2(Q(\varrho,\tau))} + \mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(k,\varrho,\tau)],\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\omega^{(k)}(x,t) = \max\{\omega(x,t) - k; 0\}$, $Q(\varrho,\tau) = B_\varrho(x_0) \times (t_0, t_0+\tau) = \{(x,t) \in Q_T : |x - x_0| < \varrho,\ t_0 < t < t_0 + \tau\}$, $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$, ϱ, τ are arbitrary positive numbers, σ_1, σ_2 – arbitrary numbers from $(0,1)$,

$$\mu(k,\varrho,\tau) = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} \operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t)\,dt,$$

$$A_{k,\varrho}(t) = \{x \in B_\varrho(x_0) : \omega(x,t) > k\},$$

the numbers M, γ, δ, $\varkappa$ are arbitrary positive. The numbers r, q satisfy the relation

$$\frac{2}{r} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2}. \tag{3.3}$$

Finally, k is a positive number satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname*{esssup}_{Q(\varrho,\tau)} \omega(x,t) - k \le \delta. \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that u satisfies (1.6) and $|u| \le M$. Assume that $v \in L_{r'}(0,T; L_{q'}(\Omega))$ with $\frac{3}{q'} + \frac{2}{r'} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\varkappa$, $\varkappa > 0$ and $\operatorname{div} v = 0$. Then $u \in \mathcal{B}_2(Q_T \cap Q(\varrho,\tau), M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$ where k, δ satisfy (3.4). The important case is $\varkappa = 1/3$, for $r' = q' = 10$.*

Proof. For solutions to problem (1.6) we have the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)} \le c\|u(0)\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $u^{(k)}(x,t)=\max\{u(x,t)-k,0\}$, $Q(\varrho,\tau)=B_\varrho\times(t_0,t_0+\tau)=\{(x,t):|x-x_0|<\varrho,\ t_0<t<t_0+\tau\}$ is arbitrary cylinder located in $\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T)$, ϱ,τ – arbitrary numbers from $(0,1)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A_{k,\varrho}(t)=\{x\in B_\varrho:\ u(x,t)>k\}\\
&\mu(k,\varrho,\tau)=\int\limits_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau}\mathrm{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}}A_{k,\varrho}(t)dt
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{q}=\frac{3}{2}$. Since k is an arbitrary number we can assume that

$$
\operatorname*{esssup}_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}u(x,t)-k\le\delta. \tag{3.6}
$$

Multiplying $(1.6)_1$ by $u^{(k)}\zeta^2$, where $\zeta=\zeta(x,t)$ is a smooth function with support in $Q(\varrho,\tau)$, and integrating the result over $Q(\varrho,\tau)$ we obtain

$$
\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}\left(u_t-v\cdot\nabla u-\nu\Delta u+\nu\frac{u_{,r}}{r}\right)u^{(k)}\zeta^2dxdt=0 \tag{3.7}
$$

Continuing calculations in (3.7) and using that $\zeta=\zeta(x,t)$ as a function of the space variable x has a compact support in $B_R(x_0)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}&\bigg[\frac{1}{2}\partial_t|u^{(k)}|^2\zeta^2+\frac{1}{2}v\cdot\nabla|u^{(k)}|^2\zeta^2\\
&+\nu\nabla(u^{(k)})\nabla(u^{(k)}\zeta^2)+\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{(|u^{(k)}|^2)_{,r}}{r}\zeta^2\bigg]dxdt=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}\bigg[\frac{1}{2}\partial_t(|u^{(k)}|^2\zeta^2)-|u^{(k)}|^2\zeta\zeta_t+\frac{1}{2}v\cdot\nabla(|u^{(k)}|^2\zeta^2)\\
&-v\cdot\nabla\zeta\zeta|u^{(k)}|^2+\nu\nabla u^{(k)}\nabla(u^{(k)}\zeta)\zeta+\nu\nabla u^{(k)}u^{(k)}\zeta\nabla\zeta\bigg]dxdt\\
&+\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|u^{(k)}|^2_{,r}\zeta^2drdzdt=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B_\varrho}|u^{(k)}(x,t_0+\tau)|^2\zeta^2(x,t_0+\tau)dx+\nu\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|\nabla(u^{(k)}\zeta)|^2dxdt\\
&\le\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B_\varrho}|u^{(k)}(x,t_0)|^2\zeta^2(x,t_0)dx\\
&\quad+\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|u^{(k)}|^2\zeta|\zeta_t|dxdt+\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|v\cdot\nabla\zeta\zeta|\,|u^{(k)}|^2dxdt\\
&\quad+\nu\bigg|\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}u^{(k)}\nabla\zeta\nabla(u^{(k)}\zeta)dxdt\bigg|\\
&\quad+\nu\bigg|\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}\nabla u^{(k)}u^{(k)}\nabla\zeta\zeta dxdt\bigg|+\nu\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}\frac{|u^{(k)}|^2}{r}\zeta|\zeta_{,r}|dxdt\\
&\quad+\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{Q'(\varrho,\tau)}|u^{(k)}|^2\zeta^2|_{r=0}dzdt,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

where $Q'(\varrho,\tau)=\{(x,t)\in Q(\varrho,\tau):\ r=0\}$.
The third term on the r.h.s. of (3.8) is estimated by

$$\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|v|\,|\nabla\zeta|\zeta|u^{(k)}|^2dxdt,$$

the fourth by

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|\nabla(u^{(k)}\zeta)|^2dxdt+\frac{\nu^2}{2\varepsilon_1}\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|u^{(k)}|^2|\nabla\zeta|^2dxdt,$$

the fifth is equal

$$\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}\nabla|u^{(k)}|^2\nabla\zeta\zeta dxdt=-\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|u^{(k)}|^2(\Delta\zeta\zeta+|\nabla\zeta|^2)dxdt.$$

Next, the last but one is equal

$$\nu\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)}|u^{(k)}|^2\frac{1}{r}\zeta|\zeta_{,r}|dxdt$$

The last term on the r.h.s. of (3.8) disappears because $u^{(k)}|_{r=0}=0$. Otherwise we have a contradiction with (1.7) for $u>k$.

Setting $\varepsilon_1 = \nu$ we obtain from (3.8) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B_\varrho} |u^{(k)}(x,t_0+\tau)|^2\zeta^2(x,t_0+\tau)dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)} |\nabla(u^{(k)}\zeta)|^2 dxdt \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{B_\varrho} |u^{(k)}(x,t_0)|^2\zeta^2(x,t_0)dx \\
&\quad + \int\limits_{Q(\varrho,\tau)} |u^{(k)}|^2\left(\zeta|\zeta_t| + \nu|\nabla\zeta|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}|\Delta\zeta|\zeta + \frac{\nu}{r}\zeta|\zeta_{,r}|\right)dxdt \\
&\quad + \int\limits_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau}\int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho}(t)} |v|\,|\nabla\zeta|\zeta|u^{(k)}|^2 dxdt.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Now estimate the last term by

$$I \equiv \int\limits_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau}\int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho}(t)} |v|^2|u^{(k)}|^2 dxdt + \int\limits_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau}\int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho}(t)} \zeta^2|\nabla\zeta|^2|u^{(k)}|^2 dxdt,$$

where the second integral can be estimated in the same way as the second integral on the r.h.s. of (3.9) and the first integral in I by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^2\Bigg[\int\limits_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau}\bigg(\int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho}(t)} |v|^{2\lambda_1}dx\bigg)^{2\mu_1/2\lambda_1}\Bigg]^{2/2\mu_1} \\
&\cdot\bigg(\int\limits_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} |\mathrm{meas}\, A_{k,\varrho}(t)|^{\frac{a\mu_2}{a\lambda_2}}dt\bigg)^{2/a\mu_2} \equiv I',
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} = 1$, $\frac{1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} = 1$, $a > 1$.
Assuming that μ_2 and λ_2 are such that $\frac{3}{a\lambda_2} + \frac{2}{a\mu_2} = \frac{3}{2}$ so $\frac{3}{\lambda_2} + \frac{2}{\mu_2} = \frac{3}{2}a$ we have that $\frac{3}{\lambda_1} + \frac{2}{\mu_1} = 5 - \frac{3}{2}a$. Setting $q = a\lambda_2$, $r = a\mu_2$ we need that $v \in L_{r'}(0,T;L_{q'}(\Omega))$, where $r' = 2\mu_1$, $q' = 2\lambda_1$ and

$$\frac{3}{q'} + \frac{2}{r'} = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{3}{4}a$$

Setting $a = 2(1+\varkappa)$, $\varkappa > 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{3}{q'} + \frac{2}{r'} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\varkappa.$$

Then

$$I' \le \delta^2 \|v\|_{L_{r'}(0,T;L_{q'}(B_\varrho))}\mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(k,\varrho,\tau)$$

In the case $q' = r' = 10$ we have that $\varkappa = \frac{1}{3}$. Moreover, $\varkappa = \frac{1}{6}$ for $\frac{3}{q'} + \frac{2}{r'} = \frac{3}{4}$.
Let us assume that $\zeta(x,t) = 1$ for $(x,t) \in Q(\varrho - \sigma_1\varrho, \tau - \sigma_2\tau)$, where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in (0,1)$. Then (3.9) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{c_2}{2}\|u^{(k)}\|^2_{V_2^0(Q(\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho,\tau-\sigma_2\tau))} \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\|u^{(k)}(\cdot,t_0)\|^2_{L_2(B_\varrho)} + \gamma[(\sigma_2\tau)^{-1} + (\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2}]\|u^{(k)}\|^2_{L_2(Q(\varrho,\tau))} \\ &\quad + c_1\delta^2\mu(k,\varrho,\tau)^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $c_1 = \|v\|_{L_{r'}(t_0,t_0+\tau;L_{q'}(B_\varrho))}$, $c_2 = \min\{1,\nu\}$ and we used the inequality

$$\zeta|\zeta_t| + \nu|\nabla\zeta|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}|\Delta\zeta|^2 + \frac{\nu}{r}\zeta|\zeta_{,r}| + \zeta^2|\nabla\zeta|^2 \le \gamma[(\sigma_2\tau)^{-1} + (\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2}]. \tag{3.11}$$

In view of (3.10) we see that (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied, so by Definition 3.1 the lemma is proved.
If we take $\zeta = \zeta(x)$. Then instead of (3.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t_0 \le t \le t_0+\tau} \|u^{(k)}(x,t)\|^2_{L_2(B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho})} &\le \|u^{(k)}(x,t_0)\|^2_{L_2(B_\varrho)} \\ &+ \gamma(\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2}\|u^{(k)}\|^2_{L_2(Q(\varrho,\tau))} + c_1\delta^2\mu(k,\varrho,\tau)^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let function* $u = u(x,t)$ *satisfy (3.12) in cylinder* $Q(\varrho,t) = B_\varrho(x_0) \times (t_0,t)$, $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \theta\varrho^2]$ *and meas* $A_{k,\varrho}(t_0) \le \frac{1}{p}$ *meas* $B_\varrho = \frac{1}{p}\varkappa_n\varrho^n$, $p > 1$. *Then for any* $\xi \in \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}, 1\right)$ *there exist positive numbers* $\theta(\xi)$ *and* $b(\xi)$ *such that if*

$$\delta \ge H = \operatorname*{esssup}_{\substack{x\in B_\varrho \\ t_0 \le t \le t_0+\theta(\xi)\varrho^2}} u(x,t) - k > \varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}} \tag{3.13}$$

then

$$\operatorname{meas}(B_\varrho \setminus A_{k+\xi H,\varrho}(t)) \ge b(\xi)\varkappa_n\varrho^n \tag{3.14}$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \theta(\xi)\varrho^2]$ *and* $b(\xi)$, $\theta(\xi)$ *are defined by (3.20).*

Remark 3.4. We consider the case $n = 3$, $\varkappa_3 = \frac{4\pi}{3}$, $\varkappa = \frac{1}{3}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. In view of the assumptions of the lemma and (3.12) we have

$$\int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t)} (u(x,t)-k)^2dx \le \frac{1}{p}H^2\varkappa_n\varrho^n + \gamma H^2\sigma_1^{-2}(t-t_0)\varkappa_n\varrho^{n-2} + c_1\delta^2[(t-t_0)(\varkappa_n\varrho^n)^{\frac{r}{q}}]^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}. \tag{3.15}$$

From the other hand

$$(\xi H)^2\text{meas}A_{k+\xi H,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t) \le \int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t)} (u-k)^2dx. \tag{3.16}$$

For the reader convenience we show (3.16)

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^2H^2\text{meas}A_{k+\xi H,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t) &= \int\limits_{B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}\cap\{x:\ u(x,t)>k+\xi H\}} \xi^2H^2dx\\ &\le \int\limits_{B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}\cap\{x:\ u(x,t)>k+\xi H\}} |u(x,t)-k|^2dx\\ &\le \int\limits_{B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}\cap\{x:\ u(x,t)>k\}} |u(x,t)-k|^2dx = \int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}} (u-k)^2dx,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}&A_{k+k_0,\varrho}(t) = \{x\in B_\varrho:\ u(x,t)>k+k_0\},\quad k_0\ge 0,\\ &k_0^2\text{meas}A_{k+k_0,\varrho} = \int\limits_{A_{k+k_0,\varrho}} k_0^2dx \le \int\limits_{A_{k+k_0,\varrho}} (u-k)^2dx\\ &\le \int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho}} (u-k)^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{meas}A_{k+\xi H,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t) &\le \frac{1}{p\xi^2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n + [\gamma(\sigma_1\xi)^{-2}(t-t_0)\varrho^{-2}\\ &+ c_1\delta^2(\xi H)^{-2}\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-1}(t-t_0)^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\varrho^{n\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-n}]\varkappa_n\varrho^n.\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.17) for $t\le t_0+\theta(\xi)\varrho^2$ and $H>\varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\text{meas}A_{k+\xi H,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t) &\le \xi^{-2}\{p^{-1}+\gamma\sigma_1^{-2}\theta(\xi)\\ &+ c_1\delta^2\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-1}\theta(\xi)^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\varrho^{n\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-n-n\varkappa+\frac{4}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\}\varkappa_n\varrho^n,\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$\frac{2n}{q}(1+\varkappa)-n-n\varkappa+\frac{4}{r}(1+\varkappa)=\frac{4}{r}+\frac{2n}{q}-n+\left(\frac{4}{r}+\frac{2n}{q}-n\right)\varkappa=0$$

because r, q satisfy the relation

$$\frac{4}{r}+\frac{2n}{q}=n.$$

Then (3.18) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\text{meas}A_{k+\xi H,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t)&\le\xi^{-2}\{p^{-1}+\gamma\sigma_1^{-2}\theta(\xi)\\&+c_1\delta^2\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-1}\theta(\xi)^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\}\varkappa_n\varrho^n.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

For any $\xi\in\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{p}},1\right)$ we choose σ_1, $\theta(\xi)$, $b(\xi)$ such that

(3.20)

$$n\sigma_1+\xi^{-2}[p^{-1}+\gamma\sigma_1^{-2}\theta(\xi)+c_1\delta^2\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-1}\theta(\xi)^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}]=1-b(\xi)<1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{meas}A_{k+\xi H,\varrho}(t)&\le\text{meas}A_{k+\xi H,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t)+\text{meas}B_\varrho\setminus B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}\\&\le(1-b(\xi))\text{meas}B_\varrho,\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

because

$$A_{k,\varrho}(t)=\{x\in B_\varrho:\ u(x,t)>k\},$$
$$A_{k,\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}(t)=\{x\in B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}:\ u(x,t)>k\},$$

$\text{meas}B_\varrho\setminus B_{\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho}=\varkappa_n\varrho^n-\varkappa_n\varrho^n(1-\sigma_1)^n=\varkappa_n\varrho^n[1-(1-\sigma_1)^n]\le\varkappa_n\varrho^n n\sigma_1.$

For $n=3$

$$1-(1-\sigma_1)^3=1-(1-3\sigma_1+3\sigma_1^2-\sigma_1^3)=3\sigma_1-\sigma_1^2(3-\sigma_1)<3\sigma_1.$$

Hence, (3.21) implies that

$$\text{meas}(B_\varrho\setminus A_{k+\xi H,\varrho})\ge b(\xi)\text{meas}B_\varrho.$$

This proves Lemma 3.3 □

Now we determine the quantities satisfying (3.20). We choose $\sigma_1=\frac{1}{6n}$, $\xi=\frac{3}{4}$, $p=4$, $b(\xi)=\frac{1}{18}$. Then $\xi\in\left(\frac{1}{2},1\right)$ and (3.20) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{6}+\frac{16}{9}\cdot\frac{1}{4}+\frac{16}{9}[(6n)^2\gamma\theta+c_1\delta^2\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-1}\theta^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}]=\frac{17}{18}\tag{3.22}$$

Hence

$$(6n)^2\gamma\theta+c_1\delta^2\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)-1}\theta^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}=\frac{9}{16}\cdot\frac{1}{3}=\frac{3}{16}\tag{3.23}$$

We have to recall that in our case $n=3$, $\varkappa=1/3$, $\frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{q}=\frac{3}{2}$, $\varkappa_3=\frac{4\pi}{3}$. Hence, in our case (3.23) takes the form

$$9\cdot36\cdot\gamma\theta+c_1\delta^2\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)^{-1/5}\theta^{4/5}=\frac{3}{16}.\tag{3.24}$$

4. Proof of Lemma 7.2 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 7]

Let $Q_\varrho = \{(x,t):\ |x-x_0| \le \varrho,\ t_0 \le t \le t_0+\theta\varrho^2\}$. Let $Q_\varrho(k) = \{(x,t) \in Q_\varrho :\ u(x,t) > k\}$. Then we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varkappa_n \varrho^n)^{\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{r}} \mathrm{meas}^{\frac{2}{r}} Q_\varrho(k) \le \mu^{\frac{2}{r}}(k,\varrho,\theta\varrho^2) \\
&\le (\theta\varrho^2)^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{2}{q}} \mathrm{meas}^{\frac{2}{q}} Q_\varrho(k) \qquad\qquad \text{for } \ r \le q, \\
&(\varkappa_n \varrho^n)^{\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{r}} \mathrm{meas}^{\frac{2}{r}} Q_\varrho(k) \ge \mu^{\frac{2}{r}}(k,\varrho,\theta\varrho^2) \\
&\ge (\theta\varrho^2)^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{2}{q}} \mathrm{meas}^{\frac{2}{q}} Q_\varrho(k) \qquad\qquad \text{for } \ r \ge q.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathrm{meas} A_{k,\varrho}(t) = \{x \in B_\varrho(x_0):\ u(x,t) > k\},$$

$$\mu(k,\varrho,\theta\varrho^2) = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} \mathrm{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t)dt, \quad \frac{1}{r}+\frac{n}{2q} = \frac{n}{4}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{meas} Q_\varrho(k) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} \mathrm{meas} A_{k,\varrho}(t)dt \\
&= \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} \mathrm{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t) \mathrm{meas}^{1-\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t)dt
\end{aligned}$$

and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{meas}^{1-\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t) \le \mathrm{meas}^{1-\frac{r}{q}} B_\varrho(x_0) \quad \text{for } \ r \le q, \\
&\mathrm{meas}^{1-\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t) \ge \mathrm{meas}^{1-\frac{r}{q}} B_\varrho(x_0) \quad \text{for } \ r \ge q.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show the second inequality of $(4.1)_1$. We calculate (the case $q \ge r$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^{\frac{2}{r}}(k,\varrho,\theta\varrho^2) &= \Big[\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} A_{k,\varrho}^{\frac{r}{q}}(t)dt \Big]^{2/r} \\
&\le \Big[\Big(\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} 1dt \Big)^{1/\lambda_1} \Big(\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} A_{k,\varrho}^{\frac{r}{q}\lambda_2}(t)dt \Big)^{1/\lambda_2} \Big]^{2/r} \equiv I_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}+\frac{1}{\lambda_2} = 1$, $\frac{r}{q}\lambda_2 = 1$, so $\lambda_2 = \frac{q}{r}$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{q}{q-r}$.
Continuing, we have

$$I_1 = \Big[(\theta\varrho^2)^{1-\frac{r}{q}} \Big(\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} A_{k,\varrho}(t)dt \Big)^{r/q} \Big]^{\frac{2}{r}} = (\theta\varrho^2)^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{2}{q}} \mathrm{meas}^{\frac{2}{q}} Q_\varrho(k).$$

Next we show the first inequality of $(4.1)_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{meas}^{\frac{2}{r}} Q_\varrho(k) = \Bigg(\int\limits_{t_0}^{t_0+\theta\varrho^2} \operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{k,\varrho}(t) \operatorname{meas}^{1-r/q} A_{k,\varrho}(t) dt \Bigg)^{2/r} \\ &\le (\varkappa_n \varrho^n)^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{2}{q}} \mu^{2/r}(k, \varrho, \theta_\varrho^2),\end{aligned}$$

where $r \le q$, so first inequality of $(4.1)_1$ follows.

Lemma 4.1. *(see Lemma 7.2 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 7]) Let $u = u(x,t)$ satisfy inequality (3.2). There exists $\theta_1 > 0$ such that for any cylinder $Q_{\varrho_0} \subset Q_T$ and any number*

$$k_0 \ge \operatorname*{esssup}_{Q_{\varrho_0}} u(x,t) - \delta$$

the inequality

$$\operatorname{meas} Q_{\varrho_0}(k_0) \le \theta_1 \varrho_0^{n+2} \tag{4.2}$$

implies

$$\operatorname{meas} Q_{\frac{\varrho_0}{\sigma}} \left(k_0 + \frac{H}{2} \right) = 0, \quad \sigma > 1, \tag{4.3}$$

if

$$H = \max_{Q_{\varrho_0}} u(x,t) - k_0 \ge \varrho_0^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}. \tag{4.4}$$

The cylinders $Q_{\varrho_0/\sigma}$ and Q_{ϱ_0} have the same top and the axis.

Proof. Introducing new variables $\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&x - x_0 = \varrho_0 \tilde{x}, \quad t - t_0 = \varrho_0^2 \tilde{t}, \quad |\tilde{x}| = \frac{1}{\varrho_0}|x - x_0| \le \frac{\varrho}{\varrho_0} \equiv \tilde{\varrho}, \\ &|\tilde{t}| = \left| \frac{1}{\varrho^2}(t - t_0) \right| \le \frac{\tau}{\varrho_0^2} \equiv \tilde{\tau}, \quad \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{\varrho_0^2} = \frac{\theta^2 \varrho^2}{\varrho_0^2} = \theta^2 \tilde{\varrho}, \quad \tilde{\varrho}_0 = \frac{\varrho_0}{\varrho_0} = 1,\end{aligned}$$

the inequality (3.2) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u^{(k)}\|^2_{V_2^0(\tilde{\varrho}-\sigma_1\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\tau}-\sigma_2\tilde{\tau})} \\ &\le \gamma \{ [(\sigma_1 \tilde{\varrho})^{-2} + (\sigma_2 \tilde{\tau})^{-1}] \|u^{(k)}\|^2_{L_2(Q(\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\tau}))} + \varrho_0^{n\varkappa} \mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(k, \tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\tau}) \},\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and $Q_{\tilde{\varrho}_0} = Q(1,\theta) = \{|\tilde{x}| < 1,\ 0 < \tilde{\tau} < \theta^2\}$. Let H be the number from (4.4). Then

$$\varrho_0^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}} H^{-1} \le 1. \tag{4.6}$$

Introducing the new quantity $v = \frac{u}{H}$, using (4.6) we obtain from (4.5) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v^{(\tilde{k})}\|_{V_2^0(Q(\tilde{\varrho}-\sigma_1\tilde{\varrho},\tilde{\tau}-\sigma_2\tilde{\tau}))} \\ &\le \gamma\big\{[(\sigma_1\tilde{\varrho})^{-2} + (\sigma_2\tilde{\tau})^{-1}]\|v^{(\tilde{k})}\|^2_{L_2(Q(\tilde{\varrho},\tilde{\tau}))} + \mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(\tilde{k},\tilde{\varrho},\tilde{\tau})\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\tilde{k} = \frac{k}{H}$. The function $\tilde{v}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t})$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.3. Moreover, instead of interval [M, M+N] we take $\Big[\tilde{k}_0 = \frac{k_0}{H}, \frac{k_0}{H} + \frac{1}{2}\Big]$, so we take $\tilde{k} \in \big[\frac{k_0}{H}, \frac{k_0}{H} + \frac{1}{2}\big]$. Then, in view of Lemma 2.3, the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} y_h &= 4\int\limits_{-\tilde{\tau}_h}^{0} d\tilde{t} \int\limits_{A_{\tilde{k}_h,\tilde{\varrho}_h}(\tilde{t})} (v - \tilde{k}_h)^2 d\tilde{x}, \\ z_h &= \Bigg(\int\limits_{-\tilde{\tau}_h}^{0} \operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{\tilde{k}_h,\tilde{\varrho}_h}(\tilde{t})d\tilde{t}\Bigg)^{2/r}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

satisfy inequalities (2.4), (2.5) with $M = \frac{k_0}{H}$, $N = \frac{1}{2}$. Then in view of Lemma 2.4,

$$y_h, z_h \to_{h\to\infty} 0$$

if

$$y_0 \le \min\{(2\gamma_1)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}(64)^{-\frac{1}{\delta d}};\ (2\gamma_1)^{-\frac{1+\varkappa}{\varkappa}}64^{-\frac{1}{\varkappa d}}\} \equiv \lambda, \quad z_0 \le \lambda^{\frac{1}{1+\varkappa}},$$

where $d = \min\Big\{\frac{2}{n+2}, \frac{\varkappa}{1+\varkappa}\Big\}$, $\delta = \frac{2}{n+2}$ and $\gamma_1 = 4\beta^2(\gamma+1)\big(2^8 + \frac{2^3}{\theta}\big)$. In our case $\delta = 2/5$, $\quad \varkappa = 1/3 = \varepsilon$ so $d = \min\{2/5, 1/4\} = 1/4$.
Hence $\lambda = \min\{(2\gamma_1)^{-\frac{5}{2}}(64)^{-\frac{35}{2}}, (2\gamma_1)^{-4}64^{-42}\} = (2\gamma_1)^{-4}64^{-42}$.
In view of (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= 4\int\limits_{-\theta}^{0} d\tilde{t} \int\limits_{A_{\tilde{k}_0,1}} (v - \tilde{k}_0)^2 d\tilde{x} \le \int\limits_{-\theta}^{0} \operatorname{meas} A_{\tilde{k}_0,1}(\tilde{t})d\tilde{t} \\ &= \varrho_0^{-n-2}\operatorname{meas} Q_{\varrho_0}(k_0) \le \theta_1, \\ z_0 &= \Bigg(\int\limits_{-\theta}^{0} \operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{k_0,1}(\tilde{t})d\tilde{t}\Bigg)^{2/r} \le \begin{cases} \varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{r}}\theta_1^{2/r} & r \ge q, \\ \theta^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{2}{q}}\theta_1^{2/q} & r \le q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\theta_1 \le \min\left\{\lambda;\ \varkappa_n^{1-\frac{r}{q}} \lambda^{\frac{r}{2(1+\varkappa)}}\right\} \quad r \ge q, \\
&\theta_1 \le \min\left\{\lambda;\ \theta^{1-\frac{q}{r}} \lambda^{\frac{q}{2(1+\varkappa)}}\right\} \quad r \le q.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

For $\frac{r}{2} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$, $\varkappa = \frac{1}{3}$ we have $\frac{r}{2(1+\varkappa)} = \frac{3r}{8}$. Hence for θ_1 satisfying (4.9) we obtain that $y_h \to 0$ for $h \to \infty$. This implies that

$$
\operatorname*{esssup}_{Q_{\varrho_0/\sigma}} u \le k_0 + \frac{H}{2}.
$$

This concludes the proof.

Remark 4.2. Let us calculate

$$
\gamma_1 = 2^6 \beta^2 [\gamma(2^5 + \theta^{-1}) + 2^5],
$$

where β is the constant from the imbedding

$$
\|u\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \le \beta \|u_x\|^{\alpha}_{L_2(\Omega)} \|u\|^{1-\alpha}_{L_2(\Omega)} \quad \alpha = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{q}.
$$

Setting $\theta = 2^{-5}$ we obtain $\gamma_1 = 2^{11}\beta^2(\gamma + 1)$. Then
$\lambda = 2^{-12\cdot 7}[\beta^2(\gamma+1)]^{-7} 64^{-42} = 2^{-252}[\beta^2(\gamma+1)]^{-7}$ and in view of (4.9) $\theta_1 \le 2^{-252}[\beta^2(\gamma+1)]^{-7}$.

5. Lemma 7.3 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 7]

In this section the proof of Lemma 7.3 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 7] is changed in such direction that we can calculate the Hölder exponent α larger than the one in Theorem 7.1 in [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 7].
Let $Q_{\sigma\varrho} = B_{\sigma\varrho} \times [t_0, t_0 + 2\sigma\theta\varrho^2]$, $Q_\varrho = B_\varrho \times \left[t_0 + \frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2, t_0 + 2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\right]$, $1 < \sigma \le 2$, have the same top and the axis.

Let $\mu_1 = \max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u$, $\mu_2 = \min_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u$, $\omega = \mu_1 - \mu_2$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $u \in \mathcal{B}_2(\Omega^T, M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$. *Then for any* $\theta_1 > 0$, $\sigma \in (1, 2]$, *there exists* $s = s(\theta_1) > 0$ *such that either*

$$
\omega = osc\{u, Q_{\sigma\varrho}\} \le 2^s \varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}
\tag{5.1}
$$

or

$$
\operatorname{meas} Q_\varrho\left(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^s}\right) \le \theta_1 \varrho^{n+2}
\tag{5.2}
$$

or

$$\text{meas}\Big\{(x,t)\in Q_\varrho:\ u(x,t)<\mu_2+\frac{\omega}{2^s}\Big\}\le \theta_1\varrho^{n+2}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Let $\omega\ge 2^s\varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}$. Then we have either

$$\text{meas}A_{\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2},\varrho}\Big(t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)\le\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n \tag{5.4}$$

or

$$\text{meas}\Big\{x\in B_\varrho:\ u\Big(x,t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)<\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2}\Big\}\le\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n. \tag{5.5}$$

The relation (5.4) means

$$\text{meas}\Big\{x\in B_\varrho:\ u\Big(x,t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)>\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2}\Big\}\le\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2}=\frac{1}{2}(\mu_1+\mu_2)$. If (5.6) is valid, then

$$\text{meas}A_{\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^r},\varrho}\Big(t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)\le\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n,\quad r\ge 1. \tag{5.7}$$

Hence

$$\text{meas}\Big\{x\in B_\varrho:\ u\Big(x,t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)>\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^r}\Big\}\le\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n$$

because

$$\text{meas }A_{\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^r},\varrho}\Big(t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)\le\text{meas }A_{\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2},\varrho}\Big(t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)\le\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n, \tag{5.8}$$

where $r=1,2,\dots,s-1$. Hence, condition (5.8) yields

$$\text{meas}\Big\{x\in B_\varrho:\ u\Big(x,t+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)>\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}}\Big\}\le\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_n\varrho^n. \tag{5.9}$$

Now we proceed the calculations with $u=u(x,t)$. Let $\mu_1'=\max_{Q_\varrho}u$. If $\mu_1'\le\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^s}$, then meas $Q_\varrho\left(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^s}\right)=0$ because

$$\begin{aligned}&Q_\varrho\Big(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^s}\Big)=\Big\{(x,t)\in Q_\varrho:\ u(x,t)>\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^s}\Big\}\quad\text{and}\\&u(x,t)\le\max_{Q_\varrho}u\le\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^s}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Then (5.2) holds for any θ_1. Let $\mu_1' > \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^s}$. Then $H = \mu_1' - \big(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^r}\big) > \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^s} - \big(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^r}\big) = \frac{\omega}{2^r} - \frac{\omega}{2^s} \geq \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}} - \frac{\omega}{2^s} = \frac{\omega}{2^s}$ for $r \leq s-1$.
Then Lemma 3.3 can be applied for cylinder Q_ϱ and the level $k = \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^r}$ if $\frac{\omega}{2^r} \leq \delta$. Hence Lemma 3.3 implies

$$\text{meas}\Big[B_\varrho \setminus A_{\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^r} + \frac{3}{4}H, \varrho}(t)\Big] \geq \frac{1}{18}\varkappa_n \varrho^n \tag{5.11}$$

for $t \in \big[t_0 + \frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2, t_0 + 2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\big]$. Since

$$\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^r} + \frac{3}{4}H \leq \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^r} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{\omega}{2^r} = \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{r+2}},$$

where we used that $H \leq \frac{\omega}{2^r}$, we obtain that

$$\text{meas}A_{\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^r} + \frac{3}{4}H, \varrho}(t) \geq \text{meas}A_{\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{r+2}}}(t).$$

Hence, it follows from (5.11) the relation

$$\text{meas}[B_\varrho \setminus A_{\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{r+2}}, \varrho}(t)] \geq \frac{1}{18}\varkappa_n \varrho^n, \tag{5.12}$$

for $t \in \big[t_0 + \frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2, t_0 + 2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\big]$.
Since $\frac{\omega}{2^r} \leq \delta$ and $r \leq s-1$, inequality (5.12) holds for $r \in \big[\log_2 \frac{\omega}{\delta}, s-1\big]$. We have that $|\omega| \leq 2M$, where $M = \max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u$ and we have also that $\log_2 \frac{2M}{\delta} \leq \frac{2M}{\delta}$. Therefore, we take $r \in \big[\big[\frac{2M}{\delta}\big] + 1, s-1\big] \subset \big[\log_2 \frac{\omega}{\delta}, s-1\big]$.
We need the inequality (see [LSU, Ch. 2., (5.5)])

$$(l-k)\text{meas}A_{l,\varrho} \leq \beta \frac{\varrho^{n+1}}{\text{meas}(B_\varrho \setminus A_{k,\varrho})} \int\limits_{A_{k,\varrho} \setminus A_{l,\varrho}} |u_x| dx, \tag{5.13}$$

where $\beta = \beta_1 \varkappa_n^{1/n}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{(1+n\varkappa_n)2^n}{n}$, $\varkappa_n =$ surface of S^{n-1}.
Now we apply (5.13) to function $u(x,t)$ for the levels

$$l = \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}, \quad k = \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^p}, \quad t \in \Big[t_0 + \frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2, t_0 + 2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big]$$

and $p \in \big[\big[\frac{2M}{\delta}\big] + 3, s+1\big]$. Using (5.12) we obtain

$$\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\text{meas}A_{\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}, \varrho}(t) \leq 18\beta\varkappa_n^{-1}\varrho \int\limits_{\mathcal{D}_p(t)} |u_x(x,t)| dx, \tag{5.14}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_p(t) = A_{\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^p}, \varrho}(t) \setminus A_{\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}, \varrho}(t).$$

Integrating (5.14) with respect to time in the time interval $\left[t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2, t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\right]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}\operatorname{meas}A_{\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}},\varrho}(t)dt\\ &\le 18\beta\varkappa_n^{-1}\varrho\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}dt\int\limits_{\mathcal{D}_p(t)}|u_x(x,t)|dx.\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

Using that

$$\operatorname{meas}Q_\varrho\left(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\right)\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}\operatorname{meas}A_{\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}},\varrho}(t)dt$$

and taking square of (5.15) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\right)^2\operatorname{meas}^2Q_\varrho\left(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\right)\\ &\le(18\beta\varkappa_n^{-1})^2\varrho^2\left|\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}dt\int\limits_{\mathcal{D}_p(t)}|u_x(x,t)|dx\right|^2\\ &\le(18\beta\varkappa_n^{-1})^2\varrho^2\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}dt\int\limits_{\mathcal{D}_p(t)}u_x^2dx\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}\operatorname{meas}\mathcal{D}_p(t)dt,\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

where the Cauchy inequality was used. To estimate the first integral on the r.h.s. of (5.16) we use the inequality (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\omega^{(k)}\|^2_{V_2^0(Q(\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho,\tau-\sigma_2\tau))}\le\gamma\{[(\sigma_1\varrho)^{-2}+(\sigma_2\tau)^{-1}]\|\omega^{(k)}\|^2_{L_2(Q(\varrho,\tau))}\\ &\quad+c_1\delta^2\mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(k,\varrho,\tau)\},\quad\text{where }\omega=\pm u(x,t).\end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

Replacing $Q(\varrho,\tau)$ by $Q_{\sigma\varrho}$ and $Q(\varrho-\sigma_1\varrho,\tau-\sigma_2\tau)$ by Q_ϱ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}dt\int\limits_{\mathcal{D}_p(t)}u_x^2(x,t)dx\le\|u^{\left(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^p}\right)}\|^2_{V_2^0(Q_\varrho)}\\ &\le\gamma\left\{\left[(\sigma\varrho)^{-2}+\left(\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\right)^{-1}\right]\|u^{\left(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^p}\right)}\|^2_{L_2(Q_{\sigma\varrho})}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+c_1\delta^2\mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\left(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^p},\sigma\varrho,2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.18}$$

To estimate the r.h.s. of (5.18) we examine

$$\|u^{(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^p})}\|^2_{L_2(Q_{\sigma\varrho})}=\int\limits_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} dxdt\Big|u-\mu_1+\frac{\omega}{2^p}\Big|^2\le\Big(\frac{\omega}{2^p}\Big)^2\operatorname{meas}Q_{\sigma\varrho},$$

where meas $Q_{\sigma\varrho}=\varkappa_n(\sigma\varrho)^n2\sigma\theta\varrho^2$ and $u-\mu_1\le 0$ because $\mu_1=\max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}}u$. Next we calculate

$$\mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\Big(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^p},\sigma\varrho,2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)=\Bigg[\int\limits_{t_0}^{2\sigma\theta\varrho^2+t_0}\operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}}A_{\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^p},\sigma\varrho}(t)dt\Bigg]^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}$$

$$\le\Bigg[\int\limits_{t_0}^{2\sigma\theta\varrho^2+t_0}\operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}}B_{\sigma\varrho}dt\Bigg]^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}=\{[\varkappa_n(\sigma\varrho)^n]^{\frac{r}{q}}2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\}^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}.$$

Using the above estimates in (5.18) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}dt\int\limits_{\mathcal{D}_p(t)}u_x^2dx\\
&\le\gamma\Bigg\{\Bigg[(\sigma\varrho)^{-2}+\Big(\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2\Big)^{-1}\Bigg]\Big(\frac{\omega}{2^p}\Big)^2\varkappa_n(\sigma\varrho)^n2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\\
&\quad+c_1\delta^2[[\varkappa_n(\sigma\varrho)^n]^{\frac{r}{q}}2\sigma\theta\varrho^2]^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.19}$$

Since $\omega\ge 2^s\varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}$ and $p\in\big[\big[\frac{2M}{\delta}\big]+3,s+1\big]$ we obtain from (5.16) and (5.19) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\Big)^2\operatorname{meas}^2Q_\varrho\Big(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\Big)\\
&\le(18\beta\varkappa_n^{-1})^2\varrho^2\gamma\Bigg\{\Big(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{2}{3\sigma\theta}\Big)\varrho^{-2}2\sigma\theta\varrho^2\varkappa_n(\sigma\varrho)^n\Big(\frac{\omega}{2^p}\Big)^2\\
&\quad+c_1\delta^2\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)}\theta^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\sigma^{\left(n\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{r}\right)(1+\varkappa)}2^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\varrho^{\left(\frac{2n}{q}+\frac{4}{r}\right)(1+\varkappa)}\Bigg\}\\
&\quad\cdot\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}\operatorname{meas}\mathcal{D}_p(t)dt.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

Using that $\varrho^{n\varkappa}\le\big(\frac{\omega}{2^s}\big)^2\le\big(\frac{\omega}{2^p}\big)^2$ and $\varrho^{\left(\frac{2n}{q}+\frac{4}{r}\right)(1+\varkappa)}=\varrho^n\varrho^{n\varkappa}$ we obtain from (5.20) the inequality

$$\Big(\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\Big)^2\operatorname{meas}^2Q_\varrho\Big(\mu_1-\frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\Big)\le c_2\varrho^{n+2}\Big(\frac{\omega}{2^p}\Big)^2\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2}\operatorname{meas}\mathcal{D}_p(t)dt,\tag{5.21}$$

where

$$c_2 = (18\beta\varkappa_n^{-1})^2\gamma\Bigg[\Big(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{2}{3\sigma\theta}\Big)2\sigma\theta\varkappa_n\sigma^n \\ + 4c_1\delta^2\varkappa_n^{\frac{2}{q}(1+\varkappa)}\theta^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\sigma^{2\left(\frac{n}{q}+\frac{1}{r}\right)(1+\varkappa)}\cdot 2^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}\Bigg].$$

Hence, (5.21) implies

$$\text{meas}^2 Q_\varrho\Big(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\Big) \le 4c_2\varrho^{n+2}\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2} \text{meas}\mathcal{D}_p(t)dt. \tag{5.22}$$

Since $p \in \big[\big[\frac{2M}{\delta}\big]+3, s+1\big]$ then

$$\text{meas}Q_\varrho\Big(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{p+1}}\Big) \ge \text{meas}Q_\varrho\Big(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s}}\Big) \quad \text{for} \;\; p \le s-1. \tag{5.23}$$

Employing (5.23) in (5.22) and summing with respect to p from $\big[\frac{2M}{\delta}\big]+3$ to $s-1$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[s - \Big[\frac{2M}{\delta}\Big] - 4\Big]\text{meas}^2 Q_\varrho\Big(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^s}\Big) \\ &\le 4c_2\varrho^{n+2}\int\limits_{t_0+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\theta\varrho^2}^{t_0+2\sigma\theta\varrho^2} \text{meas}B_\varrho(t)dt \\ &\le c_3\theta\varkappa_n\varrho^{2n+4}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Therefore for

$$s = \Big[\frac{2M}{\delta}\Big] + 4 + \Big[\frac{c_3\theta\varkappa_n}{\theta_1^2}\Big] \tag{5.25}$$

we obtain (5.3). This concludes the proof.

Remark 5.2. In view of Remark 4.2 we know that θ_1 is very small. Hence to minimize s we assume that $\delta > 2M$ and θ so small that $\frac{c_3\theta\varkappa_n}{\theta_1^2} < 1$. Then

$$s = 4. \tag{5.26}$$

Next we prove

Lemma 5.3. *(see Lemma 7.4 from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 7]) For any $u \in \mathcal{B}_2(\Omega^T, M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$ and for any cylinders $Q_{\frac{\varrho}{\sigma}}$ and $Q_{\sigma\varrho}$, $\sigma > 1$, with the same top and the axis the following inequalities hold:*
either

$$osc\{u, Q_{\varrho/\sigma}\} \le 2^s \varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}} \tag{5.27}$$

or

$$osc\{u, Q_{\varrho/\sigma}\} \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right) osc\{u, Q_{\sigma\varrho}\}, \tag{5.28}$$

where $s = s(\theta_1)$ and $s(\theta_1)$ is described by (5.25) and (5.26).

Proof. Assume that

$$\omega_1 = osc\{u; Q_{\varrho/\sigma}\} > 2^s \varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}.$$

Then we also have that

$$\omega = osc\{u, Q_{\sigma\varrho}\} > 2^s \varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}.$$

In view of Lemma 5.1 this implies inequalities either (5.2) or (5.3). Assume that (5.2) holds. We apply Lemma 4.1 for function $u(x,t)$ defined in Q_ϱ and for the level $k_0 = \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}}$, where $\mu_1 = \max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u$ and either

$$H = \max_{Q_\varrho} u - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}}\right) < \varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}} \tag{5.29}$$

or

$$\text{meas} Q_{\varrho/\sigma}\left(k_0 + \frac{H}{2}\right) = 0. \tag{5.30}$$

Let us recall that the condition $k_0 \ge \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{Q_\varrho} u(x,t) - \delta$ from Lemma 4.1 hold. The first alternative (5.29) implies the inequality

$$\max_{Q_{\varrho/\sigma}} u \le \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}} + \varrho^{\frac{\varkappa n}{2}} \le \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^s} \tag{5.31}$$

because $\frac{\omega}{2^s} > \varrho^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}$. Hence (5.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{Q_{\varrho/\sigma}} u - \min_{Q_{\varrho/\sigma}} u \le \max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u - \min_{Q_{\varrho/\sigma}} u - \frac{\omega}{2^s} \\ &\le \max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u - \min_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u - \frac{\omega}{2^s}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

where we used that $\min_{Q_{\varrho/\sigma}} u \geq \min_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u$. Therefore (5.32) takes the form

$$osc\{u, Q_{\varrho/\sigma}\} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right) osc\{u, Q_{\sigma\varrho}\}, \tag{5.33}$$

so (5.28) holds. Let us consider the second alternative (5.30). It implies (5.34)

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{Q_{\varrho/\sigma}} u &\leq \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}} + \frac{H}{2} = \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\max_{Q_\varrho} u - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}}\right)\right] \\ &= \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^{s-1}} + \frac{1}{2}(\max_{Q_\varrho} u - \max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u) + \frac{\omega}{2^s} \leq \mu_1 - \frac{\omega}{2^s},\end{aligned}$$

because $\max_{Q_\varrho} u \leq \max_{Q_{\sigma\varrho}} u$.
Similarly as above (5.34) implies (5.28). This proves the lemma.
From Lemmas 5.3 and 2.5 we have

Theorem 5.4. *Let $u(x,t) \in \mathcal{B}_2(\Omega^T, M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$ and $Q_{\varrho_0} = Q(\varrho_0, \theta\varrho_0^2) \subset \Omega^T$. Then for any cylinder $Q_\varrho = Q(\varrho, \theta\varrho^2)$ with the same top and the axis as Q_{ϱ_0} we obtain*

$$osc\{u, Q_\varrho\} \leq c\varrho^\alpha \varrho_0^{-\alpha} \tag{5.35}$$

where

$$\alpha = \min\left\{-\ln_{\sigma^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right), \frac{n\varkappa}{2}\right\}, \quad c = \sigma^{2\alpha} \max\left\{2M, 2^s \varrho_0^{\frac{n\varkappa}{2}}\right\}.$$

Remark 5.5. In our case $s = 4$, $\frac{n\varkappa}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore

$$\alpha = \min\left\{-\ln_{\sigma^2}\frac{15}{16}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Hence, we can choose σ such that $\ln_{\sigma^2}\frac{16}{15} = \frac{1}{2}$ so $\sigma = \frac{16}{15}$.
Hence Theorem 5.4 and Remark 5.5 imply the Main Theorem.

References

LL. Landau, L.; Lifshitz, E.: Hydrodynamics, Nauka, Moscow 1986 (in Russian).

LSU. Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.; Solonnikov, V. A.; Uraltseva, N. N.: Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type, Nauka, Moscow 1967 (in Russian).

Z1. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global regular axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a periodic cylinder

Z2. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global special regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a cylindrical domain under boundary slip condition, Gakuto Intern. Ser., Math. Sc. Appl. 21 (2004), pp. 188.

Z3. Zajączkowski, W. M.: A priori estimate for axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations near the axis of symmetry.